# **DIRC Reconstruction Algorithms**

1

Ahmed Ali Hadron Physics Monthly Meeting

# Outline

- Introduction
- Geometrical Reconstruction
- Time-Based Imaging
- > Alternative Methods
- Summary

### **DIRC** Concept

#### Detection of Internally Reflected Cherenkov Light

- ► Charged particle traversing radiator with refraction index n with  $\beta = v/c > 1/n$  emits Cherenkov photons on cone with half opening angle  $\cos\theta_c = 1/n\beta(\lambda)$ .
- For n> $\sqrt{2}$  some photons are always totally internally reflected for  $\beta \approx 1$  tracks.
- Radiator and light guide: bar, plate, or disk made from Synthetic Fused Silica ("Quartz") or fused quartz or acrylic glass, etc.
- Magnitude of Cherenkov angle conserved during internal reflections (provided optical surfaces are square, parallel, highly polished).



### **DIRC** Concept

#### Detection of Internally Reflected Cherenkov Light

- Mirror attached to one bar end, reflects photon back to readout end.
- Photons exit radiator via optional focusing optics into expansion region, detected on photon detector array.



Ultimate deliverable for DIRC: PID likelihoods.



# Single Event Hit Pattern



latest beam test MCP-PMT layout

Pion hit pattern at 3.5 GeV/c and 25 degree polar angle

0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5

0.4

0.3 0.2 0.1 0

0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6

0.5

0.3 0.2

0

Kaon hit pattern at 3.5 GeV/c and 25 degree polar angle

# **Accumulated Event Hit Pattern**





Kaon 1k accumulated hit pattern at 3.5 GeV/c and 25 degree polar angle

### **Photon Arrival Time**

Polar angle 90.0



#### BABAR-like reconstruction

- Look-Up Table creation: store direction at the end of the radiator for each hit pixel
- Provides Cherenkov angle distribution, SPR, photon yield and likelihoods





Reconstruction: direction from LUT for hit pixels are combined with charged track direction



Reconstruction: direction from LUT for hit pixels are combined with charged track direction



### **Time Difference**

Polar angle 90.0



Photon time difference at 90 degree polar angle



























#### PID evaluation

Track-by-track Cherenkov angle fit likelihood hypotheses test



Red and blue lines indicate the expected distribution for the hypotheses.

Track-by-track unbinned likelihood hypotheses test



Expected distribution for the hypotheses at 5 Gev/c



Proton-Pion log-likelihood difference distributions using geometrical reconstruction

$$\operatorname{og} \mathcal{L}_{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}^{amb}} \log \left( S_{h}^{ij} + B_{h}^{ij} \right) + \log P_{N}(N_{e}),$$

- > Day one reco. algorithm for PANDA barrel DIRC
- Less sensitive to time resolution
- Provides likelihoods, Cherenkov angle distribution, SPR, and photon yield.
- Applied to narrow bars
- Depend only on the detector geometry and not on the particle properties
- LUT can be created prior to event reconstruction

- Based on Belle II time-of-propagation (TOP) counter.
- Create PDFs for every pixel and for every particle hypothesis



beam data with pion tag

- Measure arrival time of Cherenkov photons in each single event
- Compare to the expected photon arrival time for every pixel and for every particle hypothesis
- Yielding the PID likelihoods.





Proton-Pion log-likelihood difference distributions using time-based imaging

$$\log \mathcal{L}_h = \sum_{i=1}^N \log \left( S_h(x_i, y_i, t_i) + B_h(x_i, y_i, t_i) \right) + \log P_N(N_e)$$

PDFs generation challenges:

- > PDFs creation using either beam data or simulations require large storage capacities
- > Require generate a large number of simulated events for every possible:
  - particle direction
  - ➤ momentum
  - particle type
- The Belle II TOP group has shown that the timing PDFs can be calculated analytically instead

#### Example of the analytical PDF



- Performance superior to geometric reconstruction results.
- Require large storage capacities (for PDFs generated by beam data and simulations)
- PDFs can be generated analytically

## **Alternative Methods**

#### Simulation setup & visualization





Matching between Cherenkov photons and Phase Space (PhS) solutions



Reconstructed Cherenkov angle per photon



Reconstructed Cherenkov angle per photon backward lookup table

- Geometrical reconstruction method
- Photons generated from each pixel to create backward LUT
- Registered photons information stored in PhS file
- Matching between Cherenkov photons and PhS solutions
- Reconstructed Cherenkov angle is the angle between charged track direction and selected solutions
- Performance close to standard geometrical reconstruction

## Alternative method from BaBar

 Calculate unbiased likelihood for observed PMT signals to originate from e/μ/π/K/p track or from background.

(Likelihood:  $Pdf(\theta_c) \otimes Pdf(\Delta t) \otimes Pdf(N_{\gamma})$ )

> Particle ID is based on log likelihood differences of the five hypotheses.



*Example: Comparison of real event to simulated response of DIRC to e/\pi/K/p.* 

# Summary

#### **Geometrical Reconstruction**

- Delivers a measurement of the SPR and the Cherenkov angle of the track and photon yield, important variables for the detector performance studies
- > Fast algorithm since the LUTs depend only on the detector geometry and not on the particle properties
- Less sensitive to time resolution
- > LUT can be created prior to event reconstruction

#### Time based imaging

- > Performance superior to geometric reconstruction results
- > Require large storage capacities
- > PDFs can be analytically generated

#### **Alternative method**

- Backward LUT introduced
- > Provides performance close to the standard LUT method

# **Thanks For Your Attention**