#### Exclusive production of the n=2 S-states in He-like uranium two-photon transitions

D. Banas<sup>1,3</sup>, Th. Stöhlker<sup>1,2</sup>, H. F. Beyer<sup>1</sup>, F. Bosch<sup>1</sup>, A. Bräuning-Demian<sup>1</sup>,
A. Gumberidze<sup>1,2,6</sup>, S. Hagmann<sup>1</sup>, C. Kozhuharov<sup>1</sup>, X. Ma<sup>1,7</sup>, P. H. Mokler<sup>1</sup>,
R. Mann<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Orsic Muthig<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Sierpowski<sup>5</sup>, U. Spillmann<sup>1,2</sup>,
Z. Stachura<sup>4</sup>, S. Tachenov<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Warczak<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung mbH, Darmstadt, Germany;
<sup>2</sup>Institute für Kernphysik, University of Frankfurt, Germany;
<sup>3</sup>Institute of Physics, Świętokrzyska Academy, Kielce, Poland;
<sup>4</sup>Institute of Nuclear Studies, Krakow, Poland;
<sup>5</sup>Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland;
<sup>6</sup>Tbilisi State University, Georgia;
<sup>7</sup>Institute of Modern Physics, Lanzhou, China;







- Decay of n=2 S states in He-like ions
- Motivation of the experiment
- Experimental scheme and setup
- Why exclusive production of n=2 S-states?
- Results and comparison with theoretical calculation



#### Decay of He-like uranium



 $2S_{1/2}$  state in the He-like ions can only decay to the ground state by two competitive transitions:

M1:  $(2^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 1^{1}S_{0})$  – relativistic (is strictly forbidden in nonrelativistic case) magnetic dipole transition

**2E1:**  $(2^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow 1^{1}S_{0})$  – transition which, due to the conservation of angular momentum, is only possible by emission of two photons.



#### **Two-photon transition**



Energy of emmited photons:

 $E_1 + E_2 = E_0$ 

Angular distribution function A as a function of the angle  $\theta$ between two simultaneously emmited photons:

 $A(\theta) \propto 1 + \alpha \cos^2 \theta$ 

Reference: G. W. F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A 34 (1986)



#### Angular correlation



The curve indicates expected coincidence signal between two detectors as a function of angle between them

Note:

for  $n_1 s \rightarrow 1s$  transitions  $\alpha = 1$ but for example for:  $n_1 d \rightarrow 1s$  transitions  $\alpha = 1/13$ 

Reference:

J. H. Tung et al., Phys. Rev. A 30 (1984)



#### **Energy distribution**





#### **Relativistic effects**



Comparison of the theoretical relativistic and nonrelativistic decay rates of 2 <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> state

Full width at the half maximum of the twophoton energy distribution of 2 <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> state in function of Z

Reference:

Derevianko and Johnson , Phys. Rev. A 56 (1997) G. W. F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A 34 (1986)



### Correlation effects





- 2E1 (2  ${}^{1}S_{0} \rightarrow 1 {}^{1}S_{0}$ ) 7.26  $\cdot 10^{12} [s^{-1}]$ ■ M1 (2  ${}^{3}S_{1} \rightarrow 1 {}^{1}S_{0}$ ) - 1.21  $\cdot 10^{14} [s^{-1}]$
- E1 (2  ${}^{3}P_{1} \rightarrow 1 {}^{1}S_{0}$ ) 2.99  $\cdot 10^{16}$  [s<sup>-1</sup>] ■ E1M1 (2  ${}^{3}P_{0} \rightarrow 1 {}^{1}S_{0}$ ) - 5.61  $\cdot 10^{9}$  [s<sup>-1</sup>]



- For high-Z ions lifetime of the transition is too short for traditionall measurement technics
- 2E1 energy distribution is very sensitive for relativistic effects and allows us to test theoretical calculations





Degree of PNC:

$$P \approx \frac{Z(\alpha Z)^4}{\Delta E} \sqrt{\frac{W_1}{W_0}}$$

He-like uranium is a very interesting system for searching parity-violation because :

- Overlap of the electron wave functions with nuclear charge distribution is large
- Two states of oposite parity but identical total spin are almost degenerate (separated by about 1 eV)

Proposed prospects of the experiments: A. Schäfer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) V. V. Karasiev *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A 172 (1992)



#### Hyperfine quenching



He- like Bismut (Bi<sup>81+</sup>)

How does it work?

- two nearby levels 0 and 1
- level 0 is metastable (cannot decay to the ground state because strictly forbidden J = 0 → J=0 transition)
- the two levels must have the same parity

Hyperfine quenched transition modifies the 2E1/M1 intensity ratio.







#### New posibilities of ESR





#### **Experimental setup**

- Li-like uranium ions at energy 378 MeV/u were stored in ESR storage ring and cooled in electron cooler
- We measured X-rays emitted in collision of the ions with N<sub>2</sub> gaseous target





#### View of experimental setup



# Selective K-shell Ionization Ionization of K-shell electron without disturbing of L-shell electron





Frankfurt June 2003



#### **Coincidence technique**





#### **Coincidence** spectra





#### Comparison with theory



Measured spectrum was corrected for efficiency of the detector and for absorbtion in chamber window







#### Comparison with theory



Measured spectrum was corrected for efficiency of the detector and for absorbtion in chamber window



#### M1/L $\alpha_2$ intensity ratio



Measured intensity ratio for M1 and  $Ly\alpha_2$ transition which is isotropic in the emitter frame as function of observation angle

• M1: produced by K-shell ionization in  $U^{89+} \rightarrow N_2$  collision

•  $Ly\alpha_2$  : produced by electron capture in  $U^{92+} \rightarrow N_2$  collision



#### 2E1/M1 intensity ratio 2.0 Measured intensity ratios for the 2E1 and M1 1.8 2E1/M1 transitions as function of 1.6 observation angle statistical population 1.4 • 2E1: produced by K-shell Intensity ratio 1.2 ionization in $U^{89+} \rightarrow N_2$ 1.0 collision 0.8 average value - $0.52\pm0.12$ • M1: produced by K-shell 0.6 ionization in $U^{89+} \rightarrow N_2$ collision 0.4 0.2 0.0 30 60 90 120 150 0 Observation angle [deg]



#### Future

- Symulations of the detectors responce functions
- Measurements for Be-like ions and Clike ions
- Searching for hyperfine quenching effects by measurement of the ions with non-zero spin of the nucleus
- Searching for PNC sensitive transition



## Summary

- We showed good level selectivity during K-shell ionization of Li-like uranium
- We measured not distorted M1 and 2E1 transitions from decay of n=2 S-states in He-like uranium
- Theoretically calculated two-photon distribution is in good agreement with measured distribution
- We found that after ionization of K-shell 2Sstates are not statistically populated