

Detector Physics of Resistive Plate Chambers

Christian Lippmann (CERN)

Work in collaboration with Werner Riegler (CERN)

Outline:

- Introduction
- Detector Physics and Simulation of RPCs
- Simulation Results 1
 - Efficiency
 - Time Resolution
- Space Charge Effects
- Simulation Results 2
 - Charge Spectra
- Summary



What is an RPC?

- R. Santonico, R. Cardarelli, NIM 187(1981)377
- R. Santonico, R. Cardarelli, NIM A263(1988)20
- Gas Detector
- Parallel Plate Avalanche Detector
- Homogeneous high electric field
- Good Time Resolution
- Good for large areas
- Streamer Mode:
 - Large signals
 - Simple Read Out
- Avalanche Mode:
 - Better Rate Capability
- We focus on Avalanche Mode



What is an RPC?

- How it works
 - 1. Primary ionisation
 - 2. Avalanche
 - 3. Surfaces charged by electrons/ions
 - 4. Charges on electrodes are annihilated with some time constant t





Why Resistive Electrodes?

- In Parallel Plate Avalanche Chambers (Two parallel metal electrodes) sparks lead to the discharge of whole detector (breakdown).
- Can destroy electronics
- Recharging needs time **Þ** deadtime



Different RPC Types



- Typical values:
 - 2mm gaps
 - 2mm bakelite resistive layers,
 r » 10¹⁰Wcm
 - C₂F₄H₂/Isobutane/SF₆ 97/2.5/0.5
 - HV » 10kV, E » 50kV/cm
 - Typically 2 gap configurations

• Timing RPCs

- Typical Values:
 - 0.3mm gas gaps
 - Two resistive plates or 1 resistive+1 aluminum
 - 3mm glass resistive plates, r » 2x10¹²Wcm
 - $C_2F_4H_2$ /Isobutane/SF₆ 85/5/10
 - HV » 3(6)kV, E » 100kV/cm
 - Typically 4 gap configurations



Experiments with RPCs: CMS@CERN

- CMS (Compact Muon Solenoid)
- p-p collisions at 14TeV
- Muon Trigger
- Area: 3100m²

- They use Trigger RPCs
 - Bakelite
 - ■2mm gaps
 - E » 50kV/cm
 - Gas: Freon + Isobutane
 - ■Time Resolution < 3ns
 - Efficiency > 95%
 - Rate capability: 1kHz/cm²









Experiments with RPCs: ATLAS@CERN

- ATLAS (A Toroidal LHC ApparatuS)
- p-p collisions at 14TeV
- Muon Trigger
- Area: 3650m²

ATLAS TDR 10, CERN/LHCC/97-22 http://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/Welcome.html



- They use Trigger RPCs
 - Bakelite
 - 2mm gaps
 - E » 50kV/cm
 - Gas: Freon + Isobutane + SF₆
 - Time Resolution < 3ns
 - Efficiency > 97%
 - Rate capability 1kHz/cm²





Experiments with RPCs: ALICE@CERN

MUON Spectrometer:

- Dimuon Trigger
- Area 4 x 36m²
- 2mm gap Trigger RPCs
- Bakelite Electrodes
- Streamer Mode!



http://alice.web.cern.ch/Alice/

- Particle ID
- Area 176m²
- Multi Gap Timing RPCs
- Glass Electrodes
- 2 x 5 x 0.2mm gaps
- E >= 100kV/cm
- Efficiency > 98%
- Time Resolution < 70ps</p>
- Rate <= 50Hz/cm²



02.12.2002; GSI

Christian Lippmann



Experiments with RPCs: HARP@CERN

- HARP (HAdRon Production experiment)
- Particle ID (electrons-pions) with RPC TOF
- First experiment to actually run with Timing RPCs:
 - 4 x 0.3mm gaps
 - Glass resistive plates 4 x 10¹² Wcm
 - E » 100kV/cm
 - Time Resolution < 100ps</p>
 - Efficiency > 98%





HARP, Proposal for an RPC TOF system, CERN, 2000, http://harp.web.cern.ch/harp/

02.12.2002; GSI

Christian Lippmann



Detector Physics and Simulation of RPCs



Motivation

- Why simulate RPCs?
 - Quite new Technology:
 - Trigger RPC with 2mm gap » 1981 NIM 187(1981)377
 - Timing RPC with thinner gap » 1995

A new type of resistive plate chamber: the multigap RPC, CERN/PPE/95-166

- Now the first complete model for RPCs
- Open questions:
 - Why are RPCs working that well? P. Fonte, High resolution Timing of MIP's with RPCs-a model, NIM A456 (2000) 6-10
 - Good detection efficiency needs
 - Many primary clusters
 - ♦ Large gain
 - Large gain leads to huge charges (exponential multiplication)
 - Need huge suppression factor to keep charges small (Space Charge Effect?)
 - Can avalanches progress under such strong field distortions?
 - Other Effects (Surface electron emission)?



Simulation Input

- **Primary ionization: HEED** (Igor Smirnov)
- Townsend, attachment coefficient: IMONTE (Steve Biagi)
- Diffusion, drift velocity: MAGBOLTZ 2 (Steve Biagi)
- Avalanche fluctuations: Werner Legler (1960)
- Space Charge Field: CERN-OPEN-2001-074
- Frontend electronics + noise: analytic



Simulation procedure 1, No Space Charge Fields

- 1. The gas gap is divided into several steps.
- 2. We assume that the particle tracks are always perpendicular to the detector.
- 3. The primary clusters are distributed onto the steps.
- 4. The charges in the gas gap are multiplied and drifted towards the anode.
- 5. The induced current is calculated.
- 6. Steps 4 5 are repeated until all electrons have left the gas gap.





Primary Ionization

- Coulomb interactions of charged particles with gas molecules
- Mean number of events per cm (HEED):

Gas	Helium	Argon	Xenon	i-C4H10
n (events/cm)	4.2	23	44	84

• Events are Poisson distributed around the mean number n:

$$P_k^n = \frac{n^k}{k!}e^{-n}$$

n = average number of events k = actual number of events

• Maximum detection efficiency:

Gas	gap thickness	Eff (%)
Helium	0.3mm	12
	2mm	57
i-C4H10	0.3mm	92
	2mm	100

$$Eff = 1 - e^{-n}$$

• n (events/cm) is very important for efficiency

http://consult.cern.ch/writeup/garfield/examples/gas/Welcome.html#stat



Simulation Input: Primary Ionization



- HEED data = symbols
- Measurements (for iC₄H₁₀ and CH₄) = lines
 F.Rieke et al., Phys.Rev. A6, 1507 (1972)
- n » 10 Clusters/mm for a 7GeV pion
- Mean free path 1 » 0.1mm



- Average » 2.45 electrons
- Long Tail



Avalanche Multiplication in an uniform field



 α = Townsend Coefficient η = Attachment Coefficient

$$dn = \left[lpha - \eta
ight] n \; dx \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \overline{n}(x) = n_0 e^{(lpha - \eta)x}$$

But: $\alpha = \alpha(E)$ $\eta = \eta(E)$ E constant? Space Charge Fields? Combined Cloud Chamber – Avalanche Chamber:



H. Raether, Electron avalanches and breakdown in gases, Butterworth 1964



Simulation Procedure: Avalanche Fluctuations

W. Legler, 1960: Die Statistik der Elektronenlawinen in elektronegativen Gasen bei hohen Feldstaerken und bei grosser Gasverstaerkung

Assumption: ionization probability independent of the last collision

$$\frac{dP(n,x)}{dx} = -P(n,x)n(\alpha + \eta) + P(n-1,x)(n-1)\alpha + P(n+1,x)(n+1)\eta$$
General solution:

$$\overline{n}(x) = e^{(\alpha - \eta)x} \quad k = \frac{\eta}{\alpha}$$

$$P(n,x) = k\frac{\overline{n}(x) - 1}{\overline{n}(x) - k} \qquad n = 0$$

$$= \overline{n}(x) \left(\frac{1-k}{\overline{n}(x)-k}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\overline{n}(x) - 1}{\overline{n}(x) - k}\right)^{n-1} \qquad n > 0$$
Variance:

$$\sigma^2(x) = \left(\frac{1+k}{1-k}\right)\overline{n}(x)(\overline{n}(x) - 1)$$



Simulation Input: Gas Parameters (IMONTE)





Simulation Input: Drift Velocity (MAGBOLTZ)



Drift Velocities:

- Trigger RPC » 130 mm/ns, T » 15ns
- Timing RPC » 220 mm/ns, T » 1.4ns



Simulation Procedure: The Signal Induction

- We use the Weighting Field Formalism:
- Induced current:

$$i(t) = \vec{E}_{w} \cdot \vec{v}(t) q N(t)$$

 \vec{E}_w is the normalised weighting Field and N (t) is the number of charge carriers moving with velocity $\vec{v(t)}$

- The weighting field is the electric field in the gas gap if we put the one read out strip on 1V and ground all other electrodes.
- Has nothing to do with the electric field!



S. Ramo, Currents induced in electron motion, PROC. IRE 27 (1939), 584

W. Riegler, Induced signals in Resistive Plate Chambers, CERN-EP-2002-024



Simulation Input: The Weighting Field

- Analytic expression for the weighting field (z-component) of a strip electrode
- Allows calculation of induced signals and crosstalk in 3 layer RPC geometries



$$E_z(x,z) = V_1 \varepsilon_1 \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \cos(\kappa x) \sin(\kappa \frac{w}{2}) F_2(\kappa,z)$$

with

$$F_{2}(\kappa, z) = -\frac{2}{D(\kappa)} [(\varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3}) \left(e^{-\kappa(q+z)} + e^{-\kappa(2p+q-z)} \right) - (\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{3}) \left(e^{-\kappa(q+2g-z)} + e^{-\kappa(2p+q-2g+z)} \right)]$$



T.Heubrandtner, B.Schnizer, C.Lippmann and W.Riegler, Static electric fields in an infinite plane condenser with one or three homogeneous layers, NIM A489 (2002) 439-443



Efficiency, Analytic Formula

 An order of magnitude formula for the efficiency of single gap RPCs:

$$Eff = 1 - e^{-rac{d}{\lambda}(1-rac{\eta}{lpha})} \Big[1 + rac{Q_t(lpha-\eta)}{E_W \, e_0}\Big]^{rac{1}{lpha\lambda}}$$

d = gapwidth

- $\lambda = \text{mean free path}$
- Q_i = charge threshold
- α = Townsend Coefficient
- $\eta = \text{Attachment Coefficient}$

Only the first cluster (1 electron) taken into account

W. Riegler, R. Veenhof and C. Lippmann, Detector physics and Simulation of resistive plate chambers, CERN-EP-2002-046, submitted to NIM

- Efficiency depends not only on the effective Townsend coefficient but also on h
- No attachment, zero threshold:

$$\eta = Q_t = 0 \implies$$

 $Eff = 1 - e^{-\frac{d}{\lambda}}$

 $e^{-\frac{d}{\lambda}}$ is the probability to find no cluster in the gap



Time Resolution, Analytic Formula

 An order of magnitude formula for the time resolution of single gap RPCs:

$$\sigma_t = \frac{1.28}{(\alpha - \eta)v_D}$$

 v_D = Drift Velocity α = Townsend Coefficient η = Attachment Coefficient

A.Mangiarotti, A.Gobbi, On the physical origin of tails in the time response function of spark counters, NIM A482(2002), 192-215

W. Riegler, R. Veenhof and C. Lippmann, Detector physics and simulation of resistive plate chambers, CERN-EP-2002-046, Subm. to NIM



Reminder: Time Resolution of Wire Chambers

- Limited time resolution of Wire and Micropattern Chambers (GEM, ...)
- Space distribution of the cluster closest to anode:
 - Exponential distribution

 $A_1^n(x) = ne^{-nx}$

• Time distribution of that cluster:







Time Resolution of RPCs

- Compared to Wire Chambers RPCs reach much better time resolutions because the avalanche growth starts instantly
- Fast Signal Induction during avalanche development



V. Ammosov et al, Four-gap glass RPC as a candidate to a large area thin time-of-flight detector, CERN, 2002, http://harp.web.cern.ch/harp/



Efficiency and Time Resolution; Simulation Results



Reminder: Simulation Procedure, One dimensional Simulation

- 1. The gas gap is divided into several steps.
- 2. The primary clusters are distributed onto the steps.
- 3. The charges in the gas gap are multiplied and drifted towards the anode.
- 4. The induced current is calculated.
- 5. Steps 3 4 are repeated until all electrons have left the gas gap.
- No Diffusion
- No Space Charge Effect
- No Photons



Simulation of Timing RPCs



We simulate <u>Timing RPCs</u> in one and four gap configurations as in:

P. Fonte et. al., NIM A449 (2000) 295-301 A. Akindinov, P. Fonte et. al., CERN-EP 99-166

P. Fonte and V. Peskov, preprint LIP/00-04

- 0.3 mm gap(s); glass resistive plates (e=8, r=2x10¹² Wcm)
- $C_2 F_4 H_2 / i C_4 H_{10} / SF_6 (85/5/10)$
- HV: 3(6)kV, E: 100kV/cm



Efficiency and Time Resolution



- **Filled symbols: simulations**
- Lines: analytic formula

20fC threshold 200ps amplifier peaking time 140 (S

120 Internation

Time

80

60

40

20

0

6.25 6.5

•p=970mb

HV (kV)



Simulation of Trigger RPCs



Single gap Trigger RPCs

- 2mm gaps
- Like ATLAS, CMS RPCs
- Formula different from Monte Carlo because it uses only first cluster. Here many clusters are important.



Average Charges





One can show mathematically that with previous assumptions there cannot be a peak in the charge distribution (for the parameters and models described so far).

Measurements show very pronounced peak! Saturation effects!



Include Space Charge Fields in the Simulation



Simulation Procedure 2; Space Charge Fields Included

- 1. The gas gap is divided into several steps.
- 2. The primary clusters are distributed onto the steps.
- 3. The electric field of the space charge is calculated and added to the applied external field. This is where the transversal diffusion enters.
- 4. The Townsend and attachment coefficients and the drift velocity at each step is calculated.
- 5. The charges in the gas gap are multiplied and drifted towards the anode.
- 6. We also include longitudinal diffusion. The charges are redistributed onto the steps.
- 7. The induced current is calculated.
- 8. Steps 3 7 are repeated until all electrons have left the gas gap.
- No photons



Space Charge Effect



How to calculate the Space Charge Field?



How to Calculate the Space Charge Field?

We need an analytic Formula for the potential of a point charge in a three layer geometry like an RPC:

T.Heubrandtner, B.Schnizer, C.Lippmann and W.Riegler, Static electric fields in an infinite plane condenser with one or three homogeneous layers, NIM A489 (2002) 439-443

• Geometry:

- Cylindrical coordinates
- x, y, z, r, f = coordinates of point of observation
- x', y', z', r', f' = coordinates of charge
- p, g, q define thickness of layers

$$R^{2} = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^{2} =$$

$$= (x - x')^{2} + (y - y')^{2} + (z - z')^{2}$$

$$= \rho^{2} - 2\rho\rho'\cos(\phi - \phi') + \rho'^{2} + (z - z')^{2}$$

$$= P^{2} + (z - z')^{2}$$





Static Electric Fields in an Infinite Plane Condenser with Three Homogeneous Layers

$$\Phi(\rho,\phi,z) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{P^2 + (z-z')^2}} + \frac{(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)\sqrt{P^2 + (2g-z-z')^2}} - \frac{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)}{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\sqrt{P^2 + (z+z')^2}} \right]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)} \int_0^\infty d\kappa \ J_0(\kappa P) \ \frac{R(\tau,z,z')}{D(\kappa)}], \quad 0 \le z \le g$$

$$D(\kappa) = (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3) (1 - e^{-2\kappa(p+q)}) - (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)(e^{-2\kappa p} - e^{-2\kappa q}) - (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)(e^{-2\kappa(p-q)} - e^{-2\kappa(q+q)}) + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)(e^{-2\kappa g} - e^{-2\kappa(p+q-g)})$$

$$\begin{split} R(\kappa;z,z') &= (\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2})^{2} (\varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3})^{2} \left[e^{\kappa(-2p-2q+z-z')} + e^{\kappa(-2p-2q-z+z')} \right] \\ &- (\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2})^{2} (\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{3})^{2} e^{\kappa(-4g-2q+z+z')} - 4\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} (\varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3})^{2} e^{\kappa(-2q-z-z')} \\ &- (\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2})^{2} (\varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3})^{2} e^{\kappa(-2p-z-z')} - (\varepsilon_{1}^{2} - \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) (\varepsilon_{2} - \varepsilon_{3})^{2} e^{\kappa(-4g+z+z')} \\ &+ (\varepsilon_{1}^{2} - \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) (\varepsilon_{2} + \varepsilon_{3})^{2} \left[-e^{\kappa(-2p-2q-z-z')} + e^{\kappa(-2p+z-z')} + e^{\kappa(-2p-z+z')} \right] \\ &- 4 (\varepsilon_{1}^{2} - \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} e^{\kappa(-2p-2q+z+z')} - 4 (\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2})^{2} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} e^{\kappa(-2p+z+z')} \\ &+ (\varepsilon_{1} - \varepsilon_{2})^{2} (\varepsilon_{2}^{2} - \varepsilon_{3}^{2}) e^{\kappa(-2g-2q-z-z')} + 4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} (\varepsilon_{2}^{2} - \varepsilon_{3}^{2}) e^{\kappa(2g-2p-2q-z-z')} \\ &+ (\varepsilon_{1} + \varepsilon_{2})^{2} (\varepsilon_{2}^{2} - \varepsilon_{3}^{2}) \left[-e^{\kappa(-2g-2q+z-z')} - e^{\kappa(-2g-2q-z+z')} + e^{\kappa(-2g-2p-2q+z+z')} \right] \\ &+ (\varepsilon_{1}^{2} - \varepsilon_{2}^{2}) (\varepsilon_{2}^{2} - \varepsilon_{3}^{2}) \left[e^{\kappa(-2g-2q-z-z')} - e^{\kappa(-2g+z-z')} - e^{\kappa(-2g-z+z-z')} + e^{\kappa(-2g-2p-2q+z+z')} \right] \\ \end{split}$$

02.12.2002; GSI



Static Electric Fields in an Infinite Plane Condenser with Three Homogeneous Layers





Simulation Input: Diffusion (MAGBOLTZ)



02.12.2002; GSI

Christian Lippmann



The charge distribution in the gap

• Put charge in gaussian $\varphi(\rho', \sigma, z')$:

$$\sigma = D_T \sqrt{z' - z''}$$

z'' = spot of formation of primary cluster

$$E_{z}(\rho,\phi,\sigma,z,z') = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(\rho',\sigma,z') \frac{-\partial \Phi(\rho,\phi,z,\rho',z')}{\partial z} \rho' \partial \rho' \partial \phi'$$

• Field at spot z:

$$E_z(z) = E_0 + \int_0^{gapwidth} q(z') \ E_z(\rho, \phi, z, z') \partial z'$$
$$\simeq E_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{Steps} q_i \ E_z(z, z'_i, z''_i)$$
$$\rho = \phi = 0 \qquad \qquad q = \text{charge at } z'$$

One dimensional Simulation



Example Avalanche, 1 dimensional

- Electrons, pos. lons, neg. lons
- 500 steps, 3kV, 0.3mm gap, T=296.15K, p=970mb
- Logarithmic scale!





Example Avalanche; Field Distortions

- Electrons, pos. lons, neg. lons
- 500 steps, 3kV, 0.3mm gap, T=296.15K, p=970mb
- Linear Scale!





Charge Spectra, Timing RPC

- 7GeV pions (9.13 clusters/mm)
- ◆ T=296.15K
- p=970mb





Simulated Avalanche, Trigger RPC

- Electrons, pos. lons, neg. lons
- 500 steps, 10kV, 2mm gap, T=296.15K, p=970mb
- Logarithmic scale!
- Space Charge Effects are less dramatic





Charge Spectra, Trigger RPC

- 700 steps,
- 10.2kV
- 2mm gap
- ◆ T=296.15K
- p=970mb
- Simulated Spectrum shows peak like measured spectra.





3-Dimensional Simulations

- 1. A cubic volume of the gas gap is divided in a three dimensional grid. We use Cartesian coordinates x, y and z (z is spanning the gas gap).
- 2. One electron is put into a bin inside the volume.
- 3. The three dimensional electric field vector at each bin is calculated, if there is an electron in that bin. We include the applied external field and the space charge field.
- 4. The Townsend and attachment coefficients, the drift velocity and the diffusion coefficients at each bin is calculated.
- 5. The charges in the gas gap are multiplied. Longitudinal and transversal diffusion are calculated and each electron redistributed onto the bins.
- 6. Steps 3 5 are repeated until all electrons left the gas gap.



Example Avalanche, 3 dimensional

Very time consuming. Here 2.8kV on a 0.3mm gap



02.12.2002; GSI



Space Charge Effect in RPCs



Reminder: Wire Tube/ Wire Chamber

• 1/r field geometry

- Space charge region very short (<100V)
- 1.5 orders of magnitude jump to limited streamer region





Timing RPC: Long Space Charge Mode

- Homogeneous (applied) electric field
- Proportional Region is below Threshold
- Very long space charge Region
- Charge grows first exponentially, then linearly with HV (which is also an experimental fact)





Ratio Qind/Qtot

 For avalanches where no space charge effect is present we expect:

$$\frac{Q_{ind}}{Q_{tot}} = \frac{E_w}{\alpha}$$

 Indicator for a strong space charge effect present for E > 7.5kV/mm





Conclusions/Summary

- RPCs are widely used in present Big Scale Experiments
- We have applied standard detector physics simulations to Timing RPCs and find good agreement with measurements for efficiency, time resolution and charge spectra.
- The operational mode of timing RPCs is strongly influenced by a space charge effect. The suppression factor is huge (up to 10⁷).

• Details on our work:

- NIM A489 (2002) 439-443
- CERN-EP-2002-024
- NIM A481(2001) 130-143
- CERN-EP-2002-046
- CERN-OPEN-2001-074