Hans-Jürgen Wollersheim





Classification of heavy ion collisions







elastic scattering

















nucleon transfer









b≈0

elastic scattering compound nucleus formation

nucleon transfer



Nuclear reaction cross sections

Consider a beam of projectiles of intensity Φ_a particles/sec which hits a thin foil of target nuclei with the result that the beam is attenuated by reactions in the foil such that the transmitted intensity is Φ particles/sec.

The fraction of the incident particles disappear from the beam, i.e. react, in passing through the foil is given by

 $d\Phi = -\Phi \cdot n_b \cdot \sigma \cdot dx$

The number of reactions that are occurring is the difference between the initial and transmitted flux

$$\Phi_{initial} - \Phi_{trans} = \Phi_{initial} (1 - exp[-n_b \cdot d \cdot \sigma])$$
$$\approx \Phi_{initial} \cdot N_b \cdot \sigma \qquad \text{(for thin target)}$$

Example:

A particle current of 1 pnA consists of $6 \cdot 10^9$ projectiles/s.

A ¹³²Sn target (1 mg/cm²) consists of $5 \cdot 10^{18}$ nuclei/cm²

$$\frac{6 \cdot 10^{23} \cdot 10^{-3} \ g/cm^2}{132g} = 4.5 \cdot 10^{18} \quad \left[\frac{target \ nuclei}{cm^2}\right]$$

Luminosity = projectiles
$$[s^{-1}] \cdot \text{target nuclei } [\text{cm}^{-2}]$$

Luminosity (projectile $\rightarrow ^{132}\text{Sn}$) = $3 \cdot 10^{28} [s^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}]$

Reaction rate $[s^{-1}] = luminosity \cdot cross section [cm²]$ = projectiles $[s^{-1}] \cdot target nuclei [cm⁻²] \cdot cross section [cm²]$





Fraunhofer (left) and Fresnel (right) diffraction



Born approximation (quantum description) or *classical description*: $\eta = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$

 $k_{\infty} = 0.219 \cdot \frac{A_2}{A_1 + A_2} \cdot \sqrt{A_1 \cdot T_{lab}} \quad [fm^{-1}]$

 $\eta = k_{\infty} \cdot a = 0.157 \cdot Z_1 Z_2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{A_1}{T_{lab}}}$

half distance of closest approach for head-on collision $a = \frac{0.72 \cdot Z_1 Z_2}{T_{lab}} \cdot \frac{A_1 + A_2}{A_2}$ [fm]

wave length of projectile $\lambda = (k_{\infty})^{-1}$



Transition from classical (optical) picture to quantum picture





S. Hossain et al. Phys. Scr. 87 (2013) 015201

Scattering parameters



center of mass system



half distance of closest approach in a head-on collision ($\theta_{cm} = 180^{\circ}$):

$$a = \frac{0.72 \cdot Z_1 Z_2}{T_{lab}} \cdot \frac{A_1 + A_2}{A_2} \quad [fm]$$

asymptotic wave number:

$$k_{\infty} = 0.219 \cdot \frac{A_2}{A_1 + A_2} \cdot \sqrt{A_1 \cdot T_{lab}} \quad [fm^{-1}]$$

Sommerfeld parameter:
$$\eta = k_{\infty} \cdot a = 0.157 \cdot Z_1 Z_2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{A_1}{T_{lab}}}$$



◆ Particles from the ring defined by the impact parameter *b* and *b*+*db* scatter between angle θ and θ +*d* θ



$$j \cdot 2\pi \cdot b \cdot db = j \cdot 2\pi \cdot \sin\theta \cdot \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{b}{\sin\theta} \left| \frac{db}{d\theta} \right|$$
impact parameter: $b = a \cdot \cot\frac{\theta}{2}$
$$\left| \frac{db}{d\theta} \right| = \frac{a}{2} \cdot \frac{-\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \sin\frac{\theta}{2} - \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \cos\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{a}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = a \cdot \frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \cdot \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot \sin\frac{\theta}{2}} \cdot \frac{a}{2 \cdot \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{a^2}{4} \cdot \sin^{-4}\frac{\theta}{2}$$











distance of closest approach and scattering angle:









Summary

✤ impact parameter and scattering angle:

$$a = \frac{Z_p \cdot Z_t \cdot e^2}{2 \cdot E_{cm}}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{a^2}{4} \cdot \sin^{-4}\frac{\theta}{2}$$

 $b = a \cdot \cot\frac{\theta}{2}$

- ✤ angular momentum and scattering angle:
- $\ell = \eta \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2} \qquad \eta = k_{\infty} \cdot a \qquad k_{\infty} = \frac{\sqrt{2 \cdot m \cdot E_{cm}}}{\hbar}$ $\frac{d\sigma}{d\ell} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{\infty}^2} \cdot \ell$
- ✤ distance of closest approach and scattering angle:

 $D = a \cdot \left[sin^{-1} \frac{\theta}{2} + 1 \right]$ $\frac{d\sigma}{dD} = 2\pi \cdot (D - a)$





Summary

✤ impact parameter and scattering angle:

 $b = a \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2}$

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{a^2}{4} \cdot \sin^{-4}\frac{\theta}{2}$

• angular momentum and scattering angle: ρ

$$\ell = \eta \cdot \cot \frac{\sigma}{2}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ell} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{\infty}^2} \cdot \ell$$

✤ distance of closest approach and scattering angle:

$$D = a \cdot \left[\sin^{-1} \frac{\theta}{2} + 1 \right]$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{dD} = 2\pi \cdot (D - a)$$



5 16

Nuclear Reactions

elastic scattering deviates from Rutherford scattering



Ratio of the elastic scattering and Rutherford scattering (nuclear reactions) is only independent of the bombarding energy when plotted versus the distance of closest approach D.

Data are from Coulomb excitation experiments: The excitation probability $P_8(exp)$ of the low-lying rotational state $I^{\pi} = 8^+$ is not only excited directly but also fed from higher-lying states and is therefore a measure of the elastic scattering. When comparing with Coulomb excitation calculations $P_8(theo)$, which corresponds to the Rutherford scattering, the observed deviations are a clear indication of nuclear interactions (nuclear reactions).



Heavy-ion elastic scattering

energy and angular distributions



plot ratio elastic/Rutherford cross section = $f(\theta)$, determine quarter-point $\theta_{1/4}$ \rightarrow total integrated reaction cross section σ_R

determine elastic energy = $f(\theta)$, fit standard line shape, determine elastic cross section $\sigma_{el}(\theta)$



⁸⁴Kr (600 MeV) + ²⁰⁹Bi: θ = 66.7⁰ → l_{gr} = 268 ħ, R_{int} = 14.2 fm, σ_R = 1.9 b



Heavy-ion elastic scattering and the optical model





$$V_{imag}(r) = \frac{-W_0}{1 + exp\left[\frac{r - r_I}{a_I}\right]}$$





Heavy-ion elastic scattering and the optical model



$$V_{C}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{Z_{1}Z_{2}e^{2}}{2 \cdot R_{C}} \left(3 - \frac{r^{2}}{R_{C}^{2}}\right) & r < R_{C} \\ \frac{Z_{1}Z_{2}e^{2}}{r} & r \ge R_{C} \end{cases}$$
$$V_{nucl}(r) = \frac{-V_{0}}{1 + exp\left[\frac{r - r_{R}}{q_{R}}\right]}$$

$$V_{imag}(r) = \frac{-W_0}{1 + exp\left[\frac{r - r_I}{a_I}\right]}$$

Parameters of the optical model fit:

V ₀ (MeV)	r _R (fm)	a _R (fm)	W ₀ (MeV)	r _I (fm)	$a_{I}\left(fm ight)$
68.0	1.167	0.540	83.9	1.167	0.540
214.5	1.104	0.536	261.1	1.104	0.536
43.2	1.196	0.529	56.0	1.196	0.529



Elastic scattering and nuclear radius



Nuclear interaction radius: (distance of closest approach)

$$R_{int} = D = a \cdot \left[sin^{-1} \frac{\theta_{1/4}}{2} + 1 \right]$$

$$R_{int} = C_p + C_t + 4.49 - \frac{C_p + C_t}{6.35} \quad [fm]$$

$$C_i = R_i \cdot (1 - R_i^{-2}) \quad [fm] \qquad R_i = 1.28 \cdot A_i^{1/3} - 0.76 + 0.8 \cdot A_i^{-1/3} \quad [fm]$$

Nuclear density distributions at the nuclear interaction radius



Nuclear radius





nuclear radius of a homogenous charge distribution:

$$R_i = 1.28 \cdot A_i^{1/3} - 0.76 + 0.8 \cdot A_i^{-1/3} \quad [fm]$$

nuclear radius of a Fermi charge distribution:

$$C_i = R_i \cdot \left(1 - R_i^{-2}\right) \quad [fm]$$



Elastic scattering – nuclear reactions

✤ impact parameter and scattering angle:

 $b = a \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2}$

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{a^2}{4} \cdot \sin^{-4}\frac{\theta}{2}$

angular momentum and scattering angle:

$$\ell = \eta \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2}$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ell} = \frac{2\pi}{k_{\infty}^2} \cdot \ell$$

✤ distance of closest approach and scattering angle:

$$D = a \cdot \left[\sin^{-1} \frac{\theta}{2} + 1 \right]$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{dD} = 2\pi \cdot (D - a)$$



Total reaction cross section

impact parameter and scattering angle:

 $b = a \cdot \cot\frac{\theta}{2}$

 $\sigma_{reaction} = 2\pi a^2 \cdot \left[\left(1 - \cos \theta_{1/4}^{cm} \right)^{-1} - 0.5 \right]$

- angular momentum and scattering angle: $\ell = \eta \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2}$ $\sigma_{reaction} = \frac{\pi}{k_{\infty}^2} \cdot \ell_{gr} (\ell_{gr} + 1)$
- ✤ distance of closest approach and scattering angle:

 $D = a \cdot \left[sin^{-1} \frac{\theta}{2} + 1 \right]$ $\sigma_{reaction} = \pi \cdot R_{int}^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{V_C(R_{int})}{E_{cm}} \right)$



Scattering theory – nuclear absorption



attenuation coefficient:

$$[1 - P_{abs}(D)] = exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\hbar} \cdot W_0 \cdot exp\left[-\frac{D - C_1 - C_2}{a_I}\right] \cdot \frac{D}{\nu}\right\}$$



High-energy Coulomb excitation grazing angle



¹³⁶Xe on ²⁰⁸Pb at 700 MeV/u excitation of giant dipole resonance $R_{int} = 15.0 \text{ fm} \rightarrow 9_{1/4} = 5.7 \text{ mrad}$







A.Grünschloß et al., Phys. Rev. C60 051601 (1999)

High-energy Coulomb excitation grazing angle



¹³⁶Xe on ²⁰⁸Pb at 700 MeV/u excitation of giant dipole resonance $R_{int} = 15.0 \text{ fm} \rightarrow 9_{1/4} = 5.7 \text{ mrad}$





A.Grünschloß et al., Phys. Rev. C60 051601 (1999)

Effect of nuclear structure on elastic scattering

^{9,10}Be+⁶⁴Zn elastic scattering angular distributions @ 29MeV





Effect of nuclear structure on elastic scattering



 $\begin{array}{c|c} 0.3200 & 1/2^{-1} \\ \hline & & & \\ & &$

Optical Model analysis:

- Volume potential responsible for the core-target interaction obtained from the ¹⁰Be+⁶⁴Zn elastic scattering fit.
- plus a complex surface DPP having the shape of a W-S derivative with a very large diffuseness.
- Very large diffuseness: a_i = 3.5 fm similar to what found in A. Bonaccrso, NP A706 (2002), 322



